

Assignment:  
TESTB-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

## Structural Review

### Overall Manuscript

- We require the author's input to achieve the correct logical structure. Please check our comments in the remark file.

*Comment/Example: The Methods section is very detailed, and the Results are very concise. Please check if this needs to be corrected.*

### Title & Abstract

- The manuscript has a suitable title as it is informative and concise.
- In addition, the title clearly conveys the aim of the study.
- The abstract follows a logical structure.
- The abstract includes appropriate points regarding the purpose, methods and materials, results, and conclusions.

### Introduction

- In the Introduction, the purpose and approach of the study are clearly indicated.
- The statement of the relevance of the findings is included in the Introduction.
- The introduction mentions the scope of the investigation.

### Materials and Methods

- Appropriate description of the methodology is provided, and it can be reproduced by another investigator.
- The Methods section includes appropriate presentation of the measurement procedures.
- Tests of statistical significance are appropriately conducted. The samples are truly randomized, and the data are statistically significant.
- All calculations are accurate.

Assignment:  
TESTB-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

### Results

- All results are stated in the appropriate section.  
*Comment/Example: 1.*
- No discussion is present in the Results section; this section contains only results.
- All the reported findings are relevant to the thesis.
- The text contains the appropriate summary of key results presented in figures and tables.

### Discussion

- All key results are discussed in light of whether they did or did not support the hypothesis, and the discussion is convincing.
- The discussion is of appropriate length.
- The information provided in the Discussion section is appropriate, and the section does not repeat information from the Results.
- The conclusions are clear.
- The reported data and available literature support all the generalizations presented in this manuscript.  
*Comment/Example: However, please check if you need to include a separate paragraph mentioning the study limitations.*

Assignment:  
TESTB-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

## Peripheral Text Review

### Figures and/or Tables

- The figures and tables are complementary to the main text and are devoid of errors.  
*Comment/Example: Figures were not provided for editing.*
- They have also been cited in the main text at appropriate places.
- The legends are complete and accurate.  
*Comment/Example: Figure legends were not provided for editing.*
- In this manuscript, every figure and/or table can stand alone without reference to the main text.  
*Comment/Example: Figure legends were not provided for editing.*

### Bibliography

- All statements of fact are appropriately referenced.
- In this manuscript, the literature cited is very pertinent to the investigation.  
*Comment/Example: .*
- Note that all references cited follow a consistent format.

Assignment:  
TESTB-1

This report summarizes the overall quality of your edited manuscript. For each section, we provide specific comments on the quality and these are substantiated by examples (where available)

## Content, Terminology, Style, and Format Review

### Content

- This manuscript has no gaps in content.
- Also, the necessary information has been added where applicable.

### Terminology

- The terms used in the manuscript are valid in the field.
- The scientific terminology and representation are appropriate and consistent.

### Style

- The capitalization/italicization/number style is as per the conventions of the subject area.

### Journal Format

- The manuscript follows all journal guidelines.
- We have added a remark for the author to check what needs to be done next, where applicable.  
*Comment/Example: Please check the Editor Message file for details.*
- We have verified that the structure and/or length of the abstract conforms to the journal guidelines.  
*Comment/Example: However, please refer to the last note in the "Journal Formatting" section of the Editor Message file.*  
*Comment/Example: The journal had no template.*